

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

l. 1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,644	32,644 04/11/2001		Steven Dickinson Potter	1632	
7.	590	05/07/2002			
Steven D. Pot			EXAMINER		
114 Harnden Ave. Watertown, MA 02472			RESTIFO, JEFFREY J		
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3618	
				DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	09/832,644	POTTER, STEVEN DICKINSON				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Jeffrey J. Restifo	3618				
Period fo		in appears on the cover si	leet was the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 O SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, on. , a reply within the statutory minimu period will apply and will expire SIX statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>11 April 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applie						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,7,11 and 12</u> is	s/are withdrawn from cons	sideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,8-10 and 13</u> is/are rejected	d.	•				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a on Papers	and/or election requireme	nt.				
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 April 2001</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	bjected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved l	b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	I in reply to this Office action	ı.				
12) 🔲 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been receive	d.				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been receive	ed in Application No				
* \$	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do						
Attachmen							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) htice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:				
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 2				

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species A in figures 1-5, species B in figures 6-7, species C in figures 8-10, species D in figures 11-13, and species E in figure 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 13 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3618

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. During a telephone conversation with Steven D. Potter on 2/30/02 a provisional election was made of species A without traverse to prosecute the invention of figures 1-5, claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-10, and 13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3, 7, and 11-12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding claim 8, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

 See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3618

7. Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu(5,458,351).

Yu discloses a skateboard comprising front and rear footboards 20 with footpads 25, an elongated strut 10 pivotably connected to each of said footboards by a bracket arrangement 11 with vertical pivot axis 14 (or swivel-joints), and wheels 13 centered under the footpads, as shown in figures 1-3.

With respect to claim 10, conventional skateboards can tilt 30 degrees before contacting the ground.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu(5,458,351), as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Sheldon(4,082,306).

With respect to claims 4 and 8, Yu does not disclose the skateboard footboards as able to tilt relative to one another by a torsion bar. Sheldon does disclose a skateboard comprising a front and rear platforms able to tilt relative to one another by means of a torsion strut 30, which allows the footboards to, as shown in figures 1-4. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the same time the

Art Unit: 3618

invention was made to have given the skateboard, as taught by Yu, the torsion strut, as taught by Sheldon, in order to allow a user to turn the board more rapidly with less effort.

10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu(5,458,351), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Meredith(4,458,9078).

Yu does not disclose the skateboard as being able to adjust to different lengths. Meredith does disclose a skateboard 10 as able to adjust to different lengths by a strut 38, as shown in figure 3. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the same time the invention was made to have given the skateboard, as taught by Yu, the adjustable strut, as taught by Meredith, in order to allow the skateboard to adjust in length to accommodate users of different size.

11. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu(5,458,351) and in further view of Sheldon(4,082,306).

Yu discloses a skateboard comprising front and rear footboards 20 with footpads 25, an elongated strut 10 pivotably connected to each of said footboards by a bracket arrangement 11 with vertical pivot axis 14 (or swivel-joints), and wheels 13 centered under the footpads, as shown in figures 1-3. Yu does not disclose the skateboard footboards as able to tilt relative to one another by a torsion bar. Sheldon does disclose a skateboard comprising a front and rear platforms able to tilt relative to one another by means of a torsion strut 30, which allows the footboards to, as shown in figures 1-4. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the same time the invention was made to have given the skateboard, as taught by Yu, the torsion strut, as

Art Unit: 3618

taught by Sheldon, in order to allow a user to turn the board more rapidly with less effort.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lipscomb, Smith et al., Barachet, Yeh, Chambers, and Yu(695) all disclose skateboards of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey J. Restifo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0579. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:00-6:00), alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Johnson can be reached on (703) 308-0885. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JJR

May 1, 2002

Jeffrey J. Restifo Examiner

Art Unit 3618

SUPFACE

OZ

Page 6